

MAXILLARY LABIAL FRENUM IN PRESCHOOL CHILDREN: VARIATIONS, ANOMALIES AND ASSOCIATED FACTORS

Amorim LA¹, Alves NM¹, Coelho EMRB¹, Freitas AR¹, Kramer PF^{1,2}

KEYWORDS: epidemiology, labial frenum, preschool, child

Only a few epidemiological studies have described morphological types and variations in the attachment of the maxillary labial frenum (MLF) in preschool children. Objective: The aim was to investigate the prevalence of morphological and attachment variations of MLF and associated factors in preschool children. A cross-sectional study was conducted with 1,313 children aged between zero and five years attending public nurseries in the city of Canoas, Brazil. Data were collected through a questionnaire addressing demographic, socioeconomic, and behavioral characteristics and clinical examination of the MLF. Assessments of MLF morphology and attachment were based on the classification systems proposed by Sewerin and Mirko, respectively. Unadjusted and adjusted prevalence ratios of frenal abnormalities were estimated in robust Poisson regression analysis. The most prevalent patterns were simple MLF (63.8%) and gingival attachment (51.1%). Morphological abnormalities were found in 21.6% of the preschoolers and 25.4% exhibited abnormal frenal attachment. Abnormalities in MLF morphology were more prevalent among girls (p=0.003) and a significant reduction was found with the increase in age (p<0.001). Attachment abnormalities were significantly more prevalent among girls (p<0.001), white ethnic group (p = 0.005), and children who used a pacifier (p = 0.007) and also reduced significantly with the increase in age (p < 0.001). Demographic and behavioral characteristics were associated with MLF morphology and attachment. The reduction in the prevalence of the outcomes with the increase in age suggests that surgical interventions in the first years of life may constitute overtreatment.

¹ Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry. Lutheran University of Brazil, Canoas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

² Department of Pediatric Dentistry, School of Dentistry. Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

¹Delli K, Livas C, Sculean A, Katsaros C, Bornstein MM. Facts and myths regarding the maxillary midline frenum and its treatment: a systematic review of the literature. Quintessence Int. 2013;44(2):177-187.

²Kotlow LA. Diagnosing and understandig lip-tie (superior labial, the maxillary labial frenum) as it relates to breastfeeding. J Hum Lact. 2013;29(4):458-464.

³Townsend JA, Brannon RB, Cheramie T, Hagan J. Prevalence and variations of the median maxillary labial frenum in children, adolescents, and adults in a diverse population. Gen Dent. 2013;61(2):57-60.

Santa Maria C, Aby J, Truong MT, Thakur Y, Rea S, Messner A. The superior labial frenum in newborns: What is normal? Glob Pediatr Health. 2017;4:1-6.

⁴Biradar SM, Patil AY, Kotnoor SS, Bacha S, Bijjaragi SC, Kattimani PT. Assessment of diverse frenal morphology in primary, mixed, and permanent dentition: A prevalece study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2020;21(5):562-567.



⁵Pransky SM, Lago D, Hong P. Breastfeeding difficulties and oral cavity anomalies: The influence of posterior ankyloglossia and upper-lip ties. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol. 2015;79(10):1714-1717.

⁶Gkantidis N, Kolokitha O-E, Topouzelis N. Management of maxillary midline diastema with emphasis on etiology. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2008;32(4):265-272.

⁷Sekar R, Mungara J, Joseph E. To determine the prevalence of maxillary labial and mandibular lingual frenum and its relationship between the upper midline space and to clinically assess the maxillary labial frenum morphology in 3 to 14 years children of Chennai city. Glob J Res Anal. 2019;8(2):136-140.

⁸Sewerin IP. Prevalence of variations and anomalies of the upper labial frenum. Acta Odontol Scand. 1971;29(4):487-496.

⁹Mirko P, Miroslav S, Lubor M. Significance of the labial frenum attachment in periodontal disease in man. Part I. Classification and epidemiology of the labial frenum attachment. J Periodontol. 1974;45(12):891-894.